NASSAU COUNTY LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES, PRESIDING OFFICER

FULL LEGISLATURE

NORMA GONSALVES, CHAIRWOMAN

1550 Franklin Avenue Mineola, New York

March 18, 2013 1:40 p.m.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

APPEARANCES:

NORMA GONSALVES Chair

KEVAN ABRAHAMS Minority Leader

ROBERT TROIANO

CARRIÉ SOLAGES

DELIA DERIGGI-WHITTON

JOSEPH SCANNELL

FRANCIS X. BECKER

HOWARD KOPEL

VINCENT MUSCARELLA

RICHARD J. NICOLELLO

JUDI BOSWORTH

WAYNE WINK

MICHAEL VENDITTO

JOSEPH BELESI

DENNIS DUNNE, SR.

DENISE FORD

JUDITH JACOBS

ROSE MARIE WALKER

DAVID DENENBERG

WILLIAM MULLER
Clerk of the Legislature

LIST OF SPEAKERS

GEOFFREY PRIME		•			•		•											7
JOANNE BORDEN		•			•													9
FARUQUE AMIN		•			•													11
FRANK FRISENDA		•			•													26
JOHN CAPOBIANCO .		•			•													28
EILEEN MUNTZ		•			•													34
PETER ROSENTHAL .		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					36
BEAUMONT JEFFERSON	•	•	•		•		•		•	•		•		•	•	•		40
MARYELLEN LAURAIN		•			•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•					41
GREG MAY		•	•		•		•		•	•		•		•	•	•		48
GEORGE GRAF		•			•				•	•		•		•		•		48
ROB MARIN		•			•				•	•		•		•		•		50
CARNELL FOSKEY		•		•	•		•		•	•		•			•			76
I I CA I OCUDEO																		0.7

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 5
2	CLERK MULLER: Deputy Presiding Officer
3	Nicolello?
4	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here.
5	CLERK MULLER: Alternate Deputy
6	Presiding Officer Kopel?
7	LEGISLATOR KOPEL: Here.
8	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Troiano?
9	LEGISLATOR TROIANO: Present.
10	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Solages?
11	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Here.
12	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Ford?
13	LEGISLATOR FORD: Here.
14	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Scannell?
15	LEGISLATOR SCANNELL: Here.
16	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Becker?
17	LEGISLATOR BECKER: Present.
18	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Muscarella?
19	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Here.
20	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Bosworth?
21	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Here.
22	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Wink?
23	LEGISLATOR WINK: Here.
24	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Venditto?
25	LEGISLATOR VENDITTO: Here.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Belesi?
3	LEGISLATOR BELESI: Here.
4	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Dunne?
5	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Here.
6	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Jacobs?
7	LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Here.
8	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Walker?
9	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Here.
10	CLERK MULLER: Legislator DeRiggi-
11	Whitton?
12	LEGISLATOR DeRIGGI-WHITTON: Here.
13	CLERK MULLER: Legislator Denenberg?
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Here.
15	CLERK MULLER: Minority Leader Abrahams?
16	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Here.
17	CLERK MULLER: Presiding Officer
18	Gonsalves?
19	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Present.
20	CLERK MULLER: We have a quorum.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you very
22	much.
23	At this time we will have 30 minutes of
24	public comment. And as I said earlier, if you
25	wish to speak on an item that will be taken up on

It is my understanding that there is currently an agreement between Nassau Community College and the County wherein the College has use of Mitchel Field property for a certain

23

24

25

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	amount of time during the academic year. What we
3	would like to know going forward is what the
4	effect, if any, of the proposed agreement with
5	Molloy College would have on Nassau Community
6	College students and/or use of Mitchel Field. My
7	only goal here is to make sure that the interests
8	of Nassau Community College are preserved and to
9	ensure that we are part of the conversation going
10	forward.
11	Accordingly, I respectfully, very
12	respectfully, ask that this matter be tabled, at
13	such time that it's appropriate, so that the full
14	understanding of all the parameters of the
15	proposed agreement and its effect on Nassau
16	Community College can be understood.
17	I thank you all for your time.
18	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you, Mr.
19	Prime.
20	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Just if I may
21	respect.
22	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Who is I?
23	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Legislator Solages.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Legislator
25	Solages.

justice can't be repeated too often and should start here and now.

What is wrong with this legislature? The entire metropolitan area, over 22 million people surrounding us have gender rights laws, and we don't.

We're also the last holdout where discrimination runs free. It is time; in fact it is overdue to denounce discrimination as illegal, wrong, and immoral.

Here and everywhere, I've quoted medical authorities that say gender identify, transgenderism, is a biological condition originating congenitally. We are born this way. For decades the experts, through research, have reaffirmed transgenderism is like left-handedness.

In Queens and Suffolk we are protected from discrimination, but as soon as we cross that line into Nassau County, we're exposed to harm from discrimination. Madame Presiding Officer and all legislators, join me in proudly affirming Nassau is also the land of the free and the home of the brave, a land where everyone is equal.

this afternoon.

on any of this information, as a student leader and also as a trustee. Neither -- as far as my understanding, I have spoken to a couple of other trustees, neither do have any knowledge of this deal or this ruling that's going to take place

I have handed out the letter that I have wrote. What I am requesting -- I'm not asking which side you should vote on. I am kindly asking for you to give us the opportunity as a college, as a board, and also the student members and student leaders, to have us take a look at it to see what's going on.

We were informed of this situation, including our student government president, Logan Kenya, as I had mentioned, on Saturday. We have spoken constantly over the phone with the other trustees and other student leaders, parents, and also teams here at Nassau, and we all feel that this should be tabled until we look into this further and find out what is really going on and what is happening. We would like some information. And we just ask for time.

I would like to thank you very much for

listening. And please do consider tabling this motion so we can further look into this.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Jacobs.

me. I wanted to thank you, number one, for coming down. You have to understand, we did table this motion the last time we met, because we, too, had questions and there are still questions out there, in my mind anyway, legal questions as to the whole situation in front of us. So we have questions also.

It's not necessarily -- it's up to the presiding officer if this is going to be up for a vote today. It's still tabled. So it doesn't mean if you don't hear anybody talking about it that it passed. It may not even come up if it's not un-tabled. Do you know what I'm saying?

It's already tabled. It's only the Rules

Committee that votes on this, and this is not the Rules Committee. This is the Full Leg. Although your comments will be made part of the Rules

Committee.

١ ^

MR. AMIN: Right.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: So if it's not untabled, then it's a moot point until we get more information, which of course then it would be readily shared with everybody anyway.

MR. AMIN: Well, as a student trustee, I think one of the great things, as I learn and experience throughout my days. One of the things that I learned is that we shouldn't be making a decision on something that we don't have facts on.

I personally feel if it is already tabled at this point, my understanding is there was a vote that's going to be taking place by the ruling committee. And the reason why I'm speaking right now is for the ruling committee to understand that as a student I'm concerned, also as a trustee I'm concerned that nothing has come across.

I sit on the finance committee at the College. Normally this kind of information of this magnitude would go through the finance committee. And I haven't heard anything, and that's the reason, you know, I'm here today for

the ruling committee, on behalf of the students, the leaders, and the trustee.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Actually, let me tell you a couple of things.

Number one, you're absolutely right, everyone should know what they're voting on whenever they vote on anything. Totally -- absolutely, we are in agreement.

Secondly, this normally would not even come to the college because this is not a monetary or financial situation with the College. You have people up here who are very good friends of the College and really consider it a jewel in this County. So we're only going to want to do what will be good for everybody involved, whether it's Molloy or certainly it being Nassau Community College. So I think you can rest assured that no one up here is going to vote on something -- I would hope everyone would not vote for anything that they feel in any way would be detrimental.

We are still seeing. We are still listening. We are happy you came down to talk to us. And let's see what happens later.

2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 1

MR. AMIN: I just want to -- I don't think this point really, as I mentioned in the letter -- one of the things that I wanted to also come across is student government at Nassau Community College funds the teams and the programs, the sports teams that we have there.

As I mentioned, with our last audit, we fund them with about \$1.1 million for all the programs and want not.

And I can assure you, from my understanding, obviously I can be wrong. Once again, I'm also looking further into it and I need time. Displacing and also relocation, like, to Eisenhower will cost the teams and the programs a lot more money. Once again, for us to -- all of us, so we have a better understanding -- and I understand it doesn't have to come to the College, as you explained, and I understand that. However, as a concerned student and as a concerned trustee, I would like to look into that and have further information on it, as well, myself.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: I understand.

MR. AMIN: Thank you.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

23

24

25

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator

Troiano, we will have the members of the Parks

Legislator Bosworth.

24

25

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Thank you. I'll

Full Legislature - 3-18-13 be brief.

I think this issue is generating many comments, many questions. As more groups become aware of what we're considering, they'd like to weigh in, as would I. I'm so pleased that we have public comment today because it gives other legislators a chance just to say something about the issue. And this goes more to the point of I truly believe this is something that should be heard by the entire legislature. I'm going to use this opportunity to suggest that this be something that actually, once it goes through Rules, if it does go through Rules, that it is something that is agenda for the entire legislature to opine on.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I would like to let you know that the College does receive our agendas for the committees, as well as the calendar. And I know it's given to the counsel at the College and to the Finance Department.

Now, what they do with that information, I don't know. But hopefully it's disseminated to those who it should be disseminated to, especially the college board.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: And I thank you for that response. But I am requesting that this is something that the entire legislature would be able to participate in the discussion of.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I will take it under advisement, Judi.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: I appreciate it, Norma.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Denenberg.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Just to the two speakers, the student speaker as well as the chairman of the board. I'm thanking you for coming down here.

And what Legislator Bosworth just brought up is an issue that I feel very strongly about, both under the Charter of Nassau County, would require a local law and a vote by the Full Legislature, as well as under alienation of parkland under state law would require a vote not only by this full body but also by the state legislature. I'm going to be submitting a memo from Bruce Piel, who is the President of Park Nassau, to that extent, that he believes this

type of arrangement is a 30 year lease that is alienation of parkland.

And not only it be tabled in Rules because of some of the questions you brought up, but it's really an issue as to whether you're for it or against it, that the proper process should be followed. I think in Rules Legislator Jacobs brought up the process again and again before it was tabled. And I would just say if it's going to be un-tabled today, it really should go after Rules to the Full Legislature as well as in committees. We have a parks committee that should have heard such an issue. And that would give the public more of an opportunity to know what's going on and more of an opportunity to be heard.

Counsel for the minority, on behalf of the minority, Peter Klein, has written a letter to the attorney general, as well as the solicitor general, seeking their opinion as to whether this matter is an alienation of parkland and whether it requires a vote of the Full Leg. A vote of the Full Leg would be required for any type of lease arrangement. How a 30 year deal is not a

lease arrangement, just because we want to call it a use and occupancy, is beyond me because it commits the county for 30-plus years and the county can't arbitrarily or capriciously revoke that use permit; that sounds like a lease,

because that's what it is.

So my concern is not just whether this is good or bad, whether we've given everyone an opportunity. Legislator Jacobs last committee, before it was tabled, asked about the process, asked if there were any RFPs. We're concerned about the process, and we think it should go to Full Legislature. And I'm also going to submit that on behalf of Park Nassau.

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Just, I want to respond to that. I think there is some misinformation being put out there.

Basically, we have a county attorney's opinion that has been submitted and it follows a county attorney's opinion from the Suozzi administration - actually I think it's the same

attorney who will be before us on a very similar item -- that this is not a lease, it is a license, and by Charter it goes to the Rules

Committee and not to the Full Legislature.

So I think we have to rely on what the county attorney is telling us. We're following the process that's set forth in the Charter. To, you know, pick this out and say let's put it before the Full Legislature, it makes political points but it's not the right thing to do, number one. Number two, it's inconsistent with what's been done in the past when the then-minority was in the majority and they actually followed the county attorney's opinion under Suozzi.

So, as I said, it's very similar to a prior deal. It's a matter that should go to the Rules Committee, is going to the Rules Committee, and will be considered there.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Point of order, to the Chair. He was commenting on what I said. And as follow as - Legislator Nicolello, what I said does go exactly to the legal points under state parkland alienation, as well as our county charter. And to rely simply on the opinion of

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	our county attorney, I think he's O for seven.
3	We relied him on the county guarantee that cost
4	the taxpayers millions. We relied on him
5	regarding NIFA.
6	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Stay on the item.
7	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: It cost millions.
8	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
9	Denenberg, stay on the item.
10	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Well, on the
11	item, our county attorney has given us bum
12	opinion after bum opinion, and I really wouldn't
13	be relying on his opinion on state parkland.
14	He wrote to the state attorney general
15	that our counsel can't inquire, from Eric
16	Schneiderman as our attorney general, for an
17	opinion, and that's just outright wrong again.
18	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: Point of order, if I
19	may. This is -
20	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Through the
21	Chair.
22	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: public comment, 30
23	minutes for them to speak and not Dave Denenberg
24	to speak.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Hold on.

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I think he's
3	interrupting. I was responding to Legislator
4	Nicolello.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
б	Denenberg, 2009, we had the same situation with
7	the ice bubble. Remember the bubble? The
8	tennis bubble, I'm sorry. And again, Lorna
9	Goodman was the county attorney and she did not -
10	- she ruled in favor of the committee.
11	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: First of all, you
12	know what?
13	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Can we go on?
14	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I don't see Lorna
15	Goodman here
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
17	Denenberg
18	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: and a ten year
19	lease on a bubble is not what we're talking
20	about.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I would like to
22	hear from the rest of the public. Thank you very
23	much.
24	MS. MUNTZ: I'm a public and I'm the
25	list, but this is very germane to what you are

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

union representing the full-time faculty asked me to come today and speak.

We just heard about this over the weekend. And I've been on the phone the whole weekend speaking to other faculty members, other students. I'm involved with many of the athletes on the campus. I've been on the Faculty-Student Association of Nassau Community College which oversees some of the fiscal policies with regard to the athletic teams. I know there's a long history between Mitchel Field and the County and the College. And I just want to say that there is so misinformation, there is so much confusion, and there are so many people at Nassau Community College that will be affected by this deal. Whether it's alienation of parkland, or a lease, or a license, or a use, or an understanding, you know, it's heartening to know and to see that you all agree. But having said that, perhaps a public hearing can be scheduled at point where all the affected constituents can put their two cents in and their three minutes.

Thank you very much.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You're welcome,

Full Legislature - 3-18-13
Mr. Frisenda.

John Capobianco.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: Thank you. As you may recall, last week you guys invited me back here today to talk to you regarding the county guarantee, and that leads to an underlying problem, which is our tax assessment system.

With regard to Local Law 18-2010, which was recently declared illegal by the Appellate Division, I urge you to not waste the taxpayers' time and money pursuing what looks to be an impossible case to win. Wouldn't it be better to take the more difficult path and to work with the State Legislature and the School Boards Association to work out a fair and equitable solution to the tax refund issue?

Should the county prevail in its appeal, you will be shifting the burden of assessment errors to the school taxpayers, simply moving an item off your balance sheet onto the school district's balance sheet. The timing of this couldn't be any worse. As school districts work to stay within their tax levy increase cap, the tax funds -- the tax refunds would bust their

Full Legislature - 3-18-13 budgets.

According to the Assistant Superintendent of Business for the Farmingdale School District, we are facing an annual refund of somewhere between 2.2 million and \$7.4 million. At the low end of the estimate, that would consume our entire tax levy increase, which would leave us trying to find a way to meet our other state mandated obligations - health insurance increases, pension increases, issues of which you are no doubt familiar.

The driving problem of the county refund

- the tax refunds, is our assessment system.

According to the last statistic I heard, 95

percent of New York State's property tax

grievances emanate from Nassau County; something

needs to be done. What I am suggesting is the

following: we need a reboot of the assessment

system, a move away from 1938 construction costs

and current market valuations. I'm suggesting a

system based on real structures, real amenities,

real fixtures that put a drain on our

infrastructure. My suggestion would be something

along the following.

1

Every taxable property in the county would be assigned a point value based upon some of the following: determine the average lot size of a single family dwelling, that becomes equal to one point. Every single family dwelling in the county would then become a multiple of a fraction of that point. Assign a point for every bathroom in the dwelling. Assign a point for every kitchen in the dwelling. Assign a point for a swimming pool. Assign a point for a pool, for an elevator, etcetera, etcetera, etcetera. This could be a starting point. You can decide on the relative values of amenities and drains on the infrastructure. Maybe a half bath is worth a half point, etcetera. You could enhance the system from there, offering tax incentives, i.e. reductions in points, for solar energy, geothermal heating, low flow toilets, grey water systems, etcetera. You could then apply a similar system to co-ops, condos and apartment buildings. You could then use the point system as a starting point for commercial real estate, and utilities. Add up the total number of points in the county, divide the tax levy by the number

1

16

18

19

95 percent of the grievances in the state. My 3 school district straddles two townships - Town of

4 Oyster Bay, Town of Babylon. We don't have the

5 same problems in the Town of Babylon. The tax

6 cap is supposed to get all the residents to

7 | believe that their tax increase is going to be

8 | two percent. With the way the assessments worked

9 | last year, we had residents with nine, ten, and

10 | 15 percent increases in their property taxes.

11 It's just - it's a silly system. And I think if

12 you went with a more absolute system, where you

13 | can't point fingers and claim that your house

14 | isn't worth what it's worth, you've got three

15 | bathrooms or you don't have three bathrooms.

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Thank you very much

17 | for your testimony.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Ford.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Sir, I thank you very

20 much. You do bring up some interesting

21 suggestions. I don't know. It would be nice if

22 we could generally look at them.

But my question though would be -- and I

24 | like the idea, like, with the point system on the

25 | bathrooms and kitchens. But I believe though,

24 LEGISLATOR FORD:

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

16

17

19

20

22

25

Yeah.

MR. CAPOBIANCO: I know we had some

school uses. People are acting and not thinking about the consequences.

There is so little open, free recreation space in central Nassau County and you gave it away. There is an indoor ice arena three miles away at Cantiague, outdoor skating at Christopher Morely and Reckson Plaza, six miles and three-quarters of a mile away, and yet you give away public parkland to a business.

Residents want open park areas to walk, to ride bikes, to rollerblade, and to sleigh ride, and you're taking that away. There is no other place in central Nassau County that I know of for people to sleigh ride. Next year after a snowstorm you're going to have a whole lot of people show up and they'll be saying what is this?

Does the Cantiague Ice Arena make money for the County? Does the Aquatic Center at Eisenhower Park make money for the County? Will the taxpayers have to pay millions to refurbish another rotting hole in ten years? In a couple of years will the ice rink be coming to you say, oh, please, we need more parking? And you're

Full Legislature - 3-18-13

going to pave over more of that open area in

Eisenhower Park? What were you thinking when

you did this? And, please, please, I don't know

how this could have been solved.

I know once it's done, it's done. But I want it in the record because in a few years I don't want people coming back saying, oops, we didn't realize. It was a mistaken. Oh, well we did it and now we have to go forward. You got rid of public, open recreation land where people had a free opportunity for fun recreation and you gave it to a business. And they're not going to pay real estate taxes. There is no incentive for another business to come in and go onto Hempstead Turnpike and turn that vacant K-Mart into something. If people thought this was a good money making business, they could have put it there. Please, save the county.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Thank you.

Peter Rosenthal.

MR. ROSENTHAL: Good afternoon.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Good afternoon.

MR. ROSENTHAL: I'm Peter Rosenthal with the League of Women Voters. You've seen us

2 multiple times in regards to redistricting. And

3 | it's my understanding that the county executive

4 has, in fact, signed the redistricting law and

5 there's been no publicity about this. He gave a

6 State of the County address and this was not

7 brought up.

I understand that there are additional costs that are going to be incurred and additional costs that are being -- you are being requested to authorize. I'm not sure if that's the Rules Committee or the Legislature, itself. In looking at the agendas it's rather difficult to tell whether there is any additional money that's about to be allocated. I would ask, I think, in deference to the public issues that are placed on your public agenda be clear as to exactly what they're talking about.

Multiple please have requested an accounting for the money that went to the redistricting commission, and to date I am unaware of anybody being able to obtain that accounting. I would ask you to be respectful of the public and keep us informed and make things more transparent.

2

1

3

4

5

7

6

8 9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Thank you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: You're welcome, Mr. Rosenthal.

That's all the slips that I have at this We are now ready to begin with the full calendar of the legislature. The process will be that we'll move the consent items first, that means both the majority and the minority agree on many of the items that are on the calendar and where there are questions those items will be called separately.

I'm going to begin with the first items on the consent calendar.

Item 1, which is Ordinance 29; Item 2, Ordinance 30; Item 3, Ordinance 31; Item 4, Ordinance 32; Item 6, Ordinance 34; Item 7, Ordinance 35; Item 8, Ordinance 36; Item 10, Ordinance 38; Item 11, Ordinance 39; Item 12, Ordinance 40; Item 13, Ordinance 41; Item 14, Ordinance 42; Item 15, Ordinance 43; Item 18, Ordinance 46; Item 19, Ordinance 47; Item 21, Ordinance 49; Item 22, Ordinance 50; Item 23, Ordinance 51; Item 25, Resolution 45; Item 26, Resolution 46; Item 30, Resolution 50; Item 31,

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	Resolution 51; Item 32, Resolution 52; Item 33,
3	Resolution 53; Item 34, Resolution 54; Item 35,
4	Resolution 55; Item 37, Resolution 57; Item 38,
5	Resolution 58; Item 39, Resolution 59; Item 40,
6	Resolution 60; Item 41, Resolution 61.
7	Motion, please?
8	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
9	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
10	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
11	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Walker.
12	Any comments?
13	(No verbal response.)
14	Any public comment?
15	(No verbal response.)
16	There being none, all those in favor of
17	the consent calendar as called please indicate by
18	saying aye.
19	(Aye.)
20	Any opposed?
21	(No verbal response.)
22	The items pass unanimously.
23	Legislator Dunne.
24	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: I just wanted to
25	one of those items was the appointment of

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Now for the first

25

, []	
1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 41
2	item on the non-consent calendar, which is Item
3	8, Ordinance 33, an ordinance supplemental to the
4	annual appropriation ordinance in connection with
5	the health department. It's Item 5, Calendar
6	Number 80.
7	Motion, please?
8	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
9	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
10	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
11	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Walker.
12	Mr. May, who is here to speak on this
13	item?
14	MS. LAURAIN: Maryellen Laurain,
15	Department of Health.
16	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Welcome, Maryann.
17	Any questions of Maryann regarding this
18	item? What can you tell us about it? I know it
19	went through the committees. I'm sure it went
20	through Health. This is 5, Ordinance 33.
21	Are there any questions of Ms. Laurain?
22	LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yeah. I have
23	questions, Presiding Officer.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Okay. Legislator
25	Solages.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: Yes. Thank you so much for being here today. I would like -- last time I asked if an award letter was presented and if the award letter of if you had information as to how the funds would be distributed or used, specifically in Districts 1, 2, or 3. As you may know, there was a very successful forum on February 14 held here in the legislative building regarding the issues of HIV/AIDS awareness. There was a lot of -- I presented to your office a link to the YouTube video from that forum. would like to know just specifically as to the award letter and also as to how much of the funds will be used in Districts 1, 2, and 3, respectively.

MS. LAURAIN: Okay. I actually just asked that. I just got an e-mail. This is a partial award. I do not believe we have the full award yet. We're expecting it. We do it this way so not to have a break in services.

This award is for all of Long Island.

The way the federal government, they classify us as a region. Nassau County has a -- Nassau and Suffolk had selected United Way to administer the

2 program. And it's for people who are infected or

3 | affected by HIV. I can give you a list. There

4 | are many, many agencies - dental, hospital-based

5 health centers for people who are affected by

6 | HIV/AIDS, legal services, and it's throughout

7 | Nassau and Suffolk County. And Suffolk County

8 can go to Nassau, Nassau County can go to

9 | Suffolk. I have an array of the funding charts;

10 one it's finalized I can certainly give that to

11 | you.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

LEGISLATOR SOLAGES: I would really much appreciate that. But also, I would also ask of you for more involvement on this issue as it relates to the districts I just mentioned. At the forum, much testimony was provided as to how — as you may know, we have never left crisis mode. The rate continues to increase here in Nassau County. According to the CDC, this suburban county ranks as one of the highest counties, in terms of its infection rate amongst women. So I would like to learn as to what specific strategies and efforts. I did speak to several individuals in your office, as well as Ms. Cummings and Mr. Ray Thomas. I would like to

2 know that especially with everything going on,

3 | the Department of Health has been very

responsive, especially down in my district, with

5 | people -- from Hurricane Sandy.

I guess when we look at this, how is it that the information, I guess, that United Way would be the one that would gather this information to distribute the funding or the necessary care or whatever for people with HIV.

MS. LAURAIN: It's done through the RFP process. The agencies -- there are, I have a whole listing. A lot of it is medical, legal -- LEGISLATOR FORD: Yeah.

MS. LAURAIN: food, dental. So there's a whole array. I could certainly share it with all the legislators.

LEGISLATOR FORD: Because how do you -how do you get the information on, like, where
basically -- where are those that need these
services most? How do you get the information?
How do you identify? Like, do you know -- like,
does it come from the hospitals? Do they have
to -- without giving names do they report the
number of HIV patients that they see?

MS. LAURAIN: HIV data, people who are infected with HIV, it is reported to the state and that's kept confidential.

LEGISLATOR FORD: I know that, yeah.

MS. LAURAIN: But not everyone who -some people have their own insurance and they
choose not to use the services. But I'm sure
they probably -- I'm not 100 percent positive,
but they probably do collect zip code level data.
I'd have to check on that.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So then actually this would be so that if somebody doesn't have health care, they would go through the Ryan White AIDS Foundation and they would get money?

MS. LAURAIN: Right. I believe it's called -- I don't have in front of me. They may be the payer of last resort; I'm not exactly sure and I would have to check into that.

LEGISLATOR FORD: When you give
information to Legislator Solages, can you also - because I would like to know how much do we -how much is spent then on AIDS awareness and
actually where we advertise the type of
intervention or help and support that we can

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 47
2	give. I know I've seen some of the flyers and
3	the posters.
4	MS. LAURAIN: Yes.
5	LEGISLATOR FORD: Okay?
6	MS. LAURAIN: Yes.
7	LEGISLATOR FORD: Thank you very much.
8	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other
9	questions of Ms. Laurain?
10	(No verbal response.)
11	Any public comment?
12	(No verbal response.)
13	All those in favor signify by saying aye.
14	(Aye.)
15	Any opposed?
16	(No verbal response.)
17	The item passes unanimously.
18	I'm going to call Item 9, Ordinance 37,
19	Clerk Item 84, and Item 20, Ordinance 48, Clerk
20	Item 100-13.
21	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
22	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
24	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Walker.
25	Who is here to speak on these two items?

MR. GRAF: It was originally part of

25

an appropriation going in, unless we're

25

Full Legislature - 3-18-13
responding to the weaknesses that the
comptroller's office discussed.

MR. GRAF: No. What you're referring to was dating back to the issue of inflated appropriations from the 2009 -- 2005 through 2010 period of time that was taken --

the summary of significant findings. An overstatement of anticipated revenue for Section 8 on documents submitted annually to OMB and the Nassau County Legislature resulted in an inflated budget being authorized by the legislature for the four year beginning April 1, 2007 through March 31, 2011. And then on the next line it talks about the years 07 through 11, and then on the next line it talks about 11 and 12. That's the report I'm talking about.

MR. GRAF: I'll let Rob Marin, our chief counsel, answer that question.

MR. MARIN: Legislators. That report is a direct result of items that we've discussed at some point and also in executive session, when this administration took over from the prior administration and the departments merged, we

\$125,000 of that housing money.

uncovered the over appropriations and reported that to the appropriate agencies. As a result of that reporting, under the Suozzi administration, that led to the arrest and guilty plea of former fiscal director under the Suozzi Administration of Louis Abbate, who was found guilty of stealing

Additionally, the rest of that question is still ongoing in an active investigation as a result of the over appropriations done under the prior administration, so we really can't tread too far into that subject.

But this administration, this current director, George, is the fiscal director, we uncovered the items that you are discussing, in that we brought it to the attention of the HUD Office of the Inspector General, we brought it to the attention of the comptroller's office. This was a result of our bringing this information to light, this administration bringing this information to light. More than that, we really, you know, can't get into it.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: How could we assure that -- well, there's a separate report

Full Legislature - 3-18-13

also from Deloitte & Touche which was for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011 where it details material weaknesses and significant deficiencies --

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I'm going to stop you.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Why? Without getting into the details and the materials weaknesses and significant deficiencies surrounding the internal controls, these were identified for 2011. So you're saying that that's due to prior practices that we're trying to correct now?

MR. GRAF: Yes. If I can just give you a brief history. In April of 2011, the Office of Community Development, of which I, at the time, was the finance director, was combined with the Office of Housing and Homeless Services. The former director who had been here for many, many years was let go. It was only after that period of time that, quite frankly, I discovered that there were improprieties that were occurring, such as these over appropriations that had dated back many years.

The report dealing with calendar 2011 is pointing out the weaknesses that we, ourselves, had uncovered from April 8 forward to the rest of that year. We continue to this point also making corrections, rightsizing the department. I would like to point out that the Office of Housing and Community Development in December 31, 2009, there were 98 employees in the two areas. That number is now down to 64. So, as per County Executive Mangano's mandate of losing of no services, we're now doing with two people what prior had been done with three.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I guess what's confusing me -- and I have no problem with you trying to say that this is all what you uncovered form the prior administration. I guess I'm confused. Deloitte & Touche, and I'm reading from it, was an auditor of the financial statements as of and for the year ended December 31, 2011. And the material weaknesses and significant deficiencies that they are listing is from an audit for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. So how can we assure that in approving these grants that the practices underlied by

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Deloitte & Touche have been corrected?

MR. GRAF: From the standpoint of the appropriation of funds the main weakness that had been oversight for a number of years was that the number of vouchers that had been submitted before this board was inflated from mid-2000 to 4800. Section 8 is an administrative fee versus most of the other areas -- CDBG, HOME, NSP, those are all grants that are allocated to us. The Section 8 appropriation is a monthly fee that we received. It's basically \$66 times the number of vouchers that you have that are out with the people for each month. So I can assure you and I have further analyses that have been done and also need to also meet with the comptroller's office that can tie to the dollar the number of appropriations. If you go to the appropriations page, the one with the brief analysis on it --LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Which page is that?

MR. GRAF: They're not numbered on here.

It would be the page where it says housing choice voucher and it will show the available revenues and estimated expenditures.

3

1

4

5 6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23 24

25

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG:

Okay.

MR. GRAF: We have 3,055 vouchers. several years, you were receiving appropriations that had 4800. The different between those numbers times 66 times 12 was leading to the multimillions that has since between written off in the prior year. If this had been caught earlier, obviously, or had been nipped in the bud back in 2005, this is all part of the comptroller's analysis also. It started out small in 2005, just adding a couple of hundred vouchers, and from there the director continued to add to that number, upping it to 4200 in -just bear with me a second -- I believe it was 2007. Here we go. If you'd like a breakdown of that.

In 2005, the county had 2100 vouchers; you appropriated 2800. In 2006, 2200, appropriated 3,000. The difference between these numbers is an over appropriation of money that the county would never see again.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: The bottom line is -- and I'm still looking at -- you brought up or I brought up the comptroller's report, then

which is why I'm concerned --

I'm looking at the Deloitte & Touche report, which was for 11, 2011. And I just want to make sure when certain costs related which were supplemental appropriations that the legislature approved in the 2011 schedule of expenditure federal awards, which is what this relates to

MR. GRAF: Correct. 11 is identical -
LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Let me finish. I

didn't ask a question.

MR. GRAF: Sorry about that.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I understand that you want to say it. I'm looking at a 2011 schedule of cost -- expenditures of federal awards that was questioning thousands, question costs that related to the homeless prevention, to the community development block grants, and the lead hazard demonstration grant. If I accumulate all of this, I accumulate it to about \$30,000 worth of, what they believe was overstatements and questioned costs for 2011, and some of this plays into what we're approving here. Are we addressing what was in the Deloitte & Touche report?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. GRAF: All is being addressed. I would just like to point out that the Section 8 fiscal year starts April 1 through March 31. So when the areas were merged and I became the fiscal director, the prior director had already submitted before you another inflated appropriation for Section 8 that was actually in the pipeline --

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I apologize. I'm just reading from the Deloitte & Touche report and it says 2011 schedule of expenditures. Near as I could tell, 2011 would have been this administration, and the year that was being investigated by Deloitte & Touche was the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. And I'm trying to ask whether you're addressing the issues that they brought up for that fiscal year. fiscal year might have only included part of the Section 8 program, but they did question the Section 8 program too. Admittedly, it started April 2011 and they stopped December 31, 2011, but they found overstatements there as well. That's the funds we're approving now. I want to make sure we're addressing it. That's it.

MR. GRAF: The only overstatement of funds is in the Section 8 appropriation, just like the one you're approving now, for the last year and also for 2011 fiscal year starting April 1. Like I was just trying to mention, the prior director had submitted another over appropriation that would have resulted, if she had not been replaced, with a \$2.5 million additional loss. That appropriation that had been in the pipeline was pulled back when it was realized, after I took a look at the books, that there was a significant problem. We then submitted a new appropriation that went from April 1, 2001 through March 31, 2012.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay.

MR. GRAF: This is actually the third appropriation that I will personally be submitting.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. So that -so one part would be the Section 8, the other
part is the community development block grants?

MR. GRAF: Yes.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Okay. And on the community development block grants in 11 there

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

were question costs. The 11 audit by Deloitte & Touche questioned costs on the community development block grant.

MR. GRAF: Rob Marin will answer that one question.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And have we responded to that?

MR. MARIN: Unfortunately, the -- I hate to say this, but the simplicity with which we are discussing the Section 8 and the way that that's tabulated is how complex the community development block grant is. And the questioning of costs usually goes to the eligibility of expenses. The block grant program, the HOME program, the lead program, these annual grants are so nuanced and so regularly nuanced and heavily regulated that depending on who is the HUD secretary and depending on all the way down to the local program supervisor for HUD that comes out to monitor our county is how it swings of what is considered an eligible expense and what is considered an ineligible expense, and I'm talking down to the nuance of a detached garage or having a detached garage. I'm talking to what

trying to --

MR. MARIN: in that. And the oversight that the department has put in under this administration won't lead to a guilty plea from anybody on our staff.

MR. MARIN: I understand.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And the last report I had was about fiscal 2011. And the exact appropriate that we're looking at now, Deloitte & Touche was saying that there were overestimates or over appropriations -- over budgets.

MR. MARIN: The community development block grant, that calendar runs from September to August, so. With these grants, they all have their own -- they don't run a calendar fiscal year. They all of their own fiscal year. The reporting, what hit, what didn't hit, is going to be different each year. So when you're looking a report there and you're looking for a complete calendar year, we're either three-quarters of the way through our fiscal year or two months through our fiscal year. So it's very hard to match up

comes from the state there have been subtle

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

started flowing in.

changes. Two or three years ago it was \$73 per voucher and right now it's 67. Depending on what's happening with the state, they will moderately adjust it. The thing I'd like to emphasize to you is the number of vouchers that we're allowed. As an example, as I mentioned, we're at 3,055. I can tell you that in 2011 it was 3,009, in 10 it was 3,020, and 2700 back in 2009, in 2008 it was 2400. So the state, in previous years, would allow for an increase of two or 300. The state has their own issues right It's been flat like this for the last three years. I'm trying to explain that the cause of the \$6.2 million loss that was absorbed by the county last year dated back to 2005; that's where it had originated from when we were starting out with only five or 600 inflated. This also led to an inflation of headcount, and that was also masked over time in 2009 when the stimulus grants

And one of the problems we had was the NSP housing counseling grant had been comingled with the Section 8 money. What had happened -- which was quite amazing -- was this single grant

2 | from NSP was appropriated three years in a row.

3 LEGISLATOR FORD: Wow. And that would

4 | be -- is the NSP for homeownership or something?

MR. GRAF: Yes. And that grant has since expired, along with most of the stimulus grants.

Getting back to the Section 8. Again, it was because the Section 8 money was fee driven that the only backup that had been provided in prior years, which we have now fixed for transparency purposes, is this sheet that you see before you. It would have said 4800 vouchers, \$5 million in appropriation. You would approve it. They would spend most of the expense side.

LEGISLATOR FORD: And that's why you're separating the grant out, to breakout that smaller grant for the people that own their homes that need the help with mortgage, rebuild, or whatever.

MR. GRAF: Correct. And the idea of that is these smaller, independent grants that you see, this National Foreclosure for the \$21,000, by segregating the expenses it also gives us obviously a truer picture of who is

accomplished.

working on Section 8. This has been important to us the last year from an allocation standpoint.

As I mentioned, as we've gone from 94 people to 63 and there's been a constantly shuffling of job duties as people have left, being able to know that we have exactly \$2,489,000 worth of expense that is Section 8 only, we need to keep that as separate as possible, and that's what we've

it, only because I know that, you know, I think the fluctuations, the slight fluctuations that you have actually falls under the fact that people may move in from other areas with their Section 8 voucher and they're living here in Nassau County.

MR. GRAF: Yes.

LEGISLATOR FORD: So that's why we see maybe 30 people more.

But for me, and I will write you because I'm very alarmed, to be quite honest with you, over the fact of, as you talked about, which we cannot discuss, the inflated numbers. I feel that something morally was done wrong there. I'm

24 Jacobs.

25

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Yes. I wanted to

ask you a question. I just want to discretely word this, what I want to say here.

When there was a tremendous decrease in the amount of people working within Housing and Community Development, etcetera, I know that --certain things I do know. I know there was a big loss in people that were credentialed. It's a very high ranking -- very high ranking people have the proper credentials to meet the requirements of the federal government. And I do know that when that number went down, the people that were making the decisions did not have them. Can you just comment on that for a moment?

MR. MARIN: I'm not sure of the source of the information. But with the respect -- that's not entirely accurate.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Pretty accurate, though.

MR. MARIN: The individuals -- some of the individuals that were replaced that were supervisors did not have the appropriate certifications, failed the certification test, etcetera, etcetera, that's all well documented. The important thing to understand is that the

2 individuals that are currently in the supervisor

3 roles have received proper training, have

4 | received the HUD mandated training. We are doing

5 more with less and there has not been a

6 diminished service or quality of service. In

7 | fact, we believe there's been an increase in

8 | level of service that we've been able to

9 | administer and deliver to the public, by nature

10 of just the accuracy, number one, and just by the

11 | qualifications of the individuals currently there

12 do posses.

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: So I understand you're saying that we believe -- and I respect both of you. You certainly sound like you know what you're talking about. But do we know for a fact that the federal government agrees with you that they are, they have the proper credentials?

MR. MARIN: We haven't received word otherwise. So in the no news is good news category, we'll accept that. Any funding cuts, any budget cuts are a direct result of the federal deficit and the federal spending cuts, i.e, sequestering. There has been no -- there has been no spending cuts for Nassau County for

reasons that you have alluded to that concern you, because of staff or because of lack of training, that has never been a reason for a cut. There have been decreases, but over the years the stimulus grants have expired, they have not been renewed. So these were one-shot stimulus grants, that equates to some of the funding cuts. Normal federal budget cuts - the CDBG program has been cut from a high of \$19 million as of a few years ago down to 12 and we're still waiting for a final number for this current fiscal year, which will begin later in the year in September. It's really Washington's ball, and they haven't given us -- they haven't cut us for reasons that are your concerns.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: But it's our ball, that when it gets to Washington it shouldn't be hit back with them gaining a homerun on this.

MR. MARIN: Correct. And fortunately that hasn't happened, and we don't anticipate that happening.

LEGISLATOR JACOBS: Okay. We could have a difference of opinion. I'm worried. I'm seriously worried about it because I know that

that did not have it.

there were only I believe two or three people with the proper credentials that were there during the time that you're saying that actually could sign off, and when they left there was a long stretch there without people without the proper authorization or credentials to sign off on any of this and it was given over to people

Now, you might be right. Maybe in the interim people have been trained. But I don't know what happens once you fall out of that -- once you have a hole in the safety net of who can sign off, what the delay is before it comes before you and it's whole again. That's my concern, I just wanted to express it to you.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Nicolello.

LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: I'm glad this whole line came up because this whole scandal, what you're talking about, has not received enough publicity. Quite frankly, some of the information you're giving us now, it's the first time we're hearing it. So I just wanted to go over some of the basics without getting into too

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

been in 2000. We'll pick on 2009. You would

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 73
2	have looked at this and instead of seeing 3,055
3	vouchers, it would have said 4,800 vouchers. The
4	column where it says for revenue, where it says
5	\$2,400,000 would have read 4.8 million. This is
6	what you approved for the housing and homeless
7	services department to spend. They did not spend
8	all the way up to that point, but went
9	significantly over the \$200,000 or so run rate
10	that existed. The board I should say, the
11	legislature gave them permission, based on the
12	information that they were given, that the run
13	rate was 400,000, as an example, which is very
14	close to what it was.
15	For a while there again, it starts off
16	small, five or 600 vouchers, and by the time it's
17	over by 2009, it's 1800 vouchers times, at the
18	time, 73 times 12, you can see how quickly this
19	balloons.
20	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: The County is
21	laying this money out expecting to be reimbursed.
22	MR. GRAF: Yes.
23	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And it was not
24	being reimbursed.
25	MR. GRAF: No. And what would happen is

_	
1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 74
2	you get
3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: How did that go
4	on for six years?
5	MR. MARIN: That's part of the
6	investigation.
7	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Here's the point.
8	Someone used the word written off. What does
9	that mean?
LO	MR. GRAF: The County absorbed the loss.
L1	There's no where it's recognized. It's
L2	recognized as a loss.
L3	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: And that's a \$6
L4	million loss?
L5	MR. MARIN: Closer to seven.
L6	LEGISLATOR NICOLELLO: Closer to seven?
L7	MR. GRAF: 6.2 is on the books. There
L8	will be smaller amount still to come on that.
L9	But once it was recognized that the revenue we
20	were anticipating, you know, 6.2 million in
21	revenue coming in. After thorough investigation,
22	after the merger in April and May of 2011, when I
23	was personally looking over the books, it was
24	discovered that this money was never going to
25	come in because it should have never been

Full Legislature - 3-18-13 appropriated. And because of that --

say that before. I wanted to put this -- to say this in public about you. If it was your work that helped to uncover this thing, you should be recognized and we should thank you and the taxpayers should thank you. If there was some sort of whistleblower award or something, you should be entitled to it. If was you or people working with you that uncovered this thing, I think we often lose sight of the fact that people need to be recognized for their work. I think we all should thank you for bringing this thing to light and stopping the bleeding.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any other comments?

(No verbal response.)

Any public comment?

(No verbal response.)

There being none, I'd like to take -
Item 9, which was 84-13, Clerk Item, Ordinance

37, and Item 20, which was Ordinance 48, Clerk

Item 100.

All those in favor of those two items

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 76
2	signify by saying aye.
3	(Aye.)
4	Any opposed?
5	(No verbal response.)
6	There being none, the two items, 9 and
7	20, pass unanimously.
8	Thank you very much.
9	Now I'm going to call Items 16 and 17
10	together because they both have to do with
11	hotel/motel monies.
12	Item 16 is Ordinance 44, Clerk Item 96.
13	Item 17 is Ordinance 45, Clerk Item 97.
14	Motion, please?
15	LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.
16	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Moved by
18	Legislator Walker, seconded by Legislator
19	Muscarella.
20	Who is here to speak on those items?
21	MR. MAY: We have Commissioner Carnell
22	Foskey from Parks and Ms. Eileen Kreib.
23	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Good afternoon.
24	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Welcome,
25	Commissioner.

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: My name is Carnell Foskey. I'm the Commissioner of the Nassau County Department of Parks, Recreation and Museums.

This is a supplemental appropriation which allows us to spend the monies that we received, that was collected in 2012 through the hotel/motel tax. You'll notice this year the actual amount that was received for programming is approximately \$2,409,000. The law actually sets forth how we divide the money up.

I think there was a question as to the report. The report was filed with the legislature this past week for 2012, with the Clerk.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: And we received copies of it, Commissioner.

Any questions of the Commissioner regarding these two items? Anybody? Legislator Bosworth.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: This goes more back to the advisory board of the hotel/motel.

You know I asked about that last week. And we were told that the county attorney had issued a

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: They were given each year. They were given to the clerk of the legislature, and also by law they are given to

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 79
2	the New York State Assembly.
3	I received a letter late on Friday asking
4	for those reports, and I e-mailed Mr. Gugerty
5	back and I think Farmer was the deputy counsel,
6	that we complied with that.
7	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: I don't have a
8	copy of that.
9	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: The clerk has it.
10	They were filed with the clerk of the
11	legislature. I can send you a copy. I will send
12	you a copy.
13	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: If you could, I
14	would like a copy
15	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: I will. I will.
16	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: of those for 2010,
17	2011, 2012.
18	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: I'll send you each
19	year 2010, 11, and 12.
20	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: I would appreciate
21	that.
22	Also, do we have a status report of the
23	funds collected for the first quarter of 2013?
24	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: No. We just got
25	them maybe we just only got them maybe in

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	February - late in February. The county received
3	the funds in February. They have not been spent
4	yet. Because I can't spend until you appropriate
5	it.
6	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Funny how it works
7	like that.
8	When you're able to, if I could just get
9	a copy of that, I would appreciate it.
10	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: I will.
11	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Are any of these
12	funds going to Friends of Nassau Recreation?
13	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: None. Zero.
14	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Is there any is
15	Friends of Nassau Recreation, is that still in
16	existence?
17	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Yes.
18	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Okay. And are we
19	do we still have a relationship with Friends
20	of Nassau?
21	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Yes. I'm an ex
22	officio member. I'm not the chair of the board.
23	The chair of the board is a private citizen, Tom
24	Heshen (phonetic).
25	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: I understand like

_	
1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	the Long Island Marathon was run under the
3	auspices
4	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Correct.
5	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: So the monies that
6	were received from that just goes straight into
7	Friends?
8	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Is stays in a
9	separate, segregated account for the Marathon.
10	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: That's always to
11	be used for
12	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: Yes. If you look
13	at the statute and legislation, it calls for two
14	accounts - a general account for Friends and it's
15	a separate account for Long Island Marathon.
16	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Okay. When was
17	the last time that does the advisory committee
18	meet?
19	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: I don't recall
20	them meeting. We haven't had anything. We're
21	following the county attorney's ruling. We
22	haven't had any Old Bethpage Village items that
23	would have gone to them.
24	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Okay. So can you
25	tell me when the last time the advisory committee

2 | -

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: It hasn't met since I've been commissioner. I wouldn't call the meeting anyway. Once again, if you look at the statute, I'm an ex officio member. I'm a non-voting member of the committee. I don't appoint.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: So I guess my question is does that mean since you've been commissioner no money has gone to Old Bethpage?

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: No money has been expended there. Prior to me becoming commissioner there were some funds that were expended there. But since in the last two and a half years, we've spent money on programming there but we haven't spent money on the structures there.

LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: So is there a standing committee? Are there actually members of this committee that would be ready to meet if you needed them to meet?

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: You would have to look. If you look at the statute, it talks about the county exec, I believe, getting three

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	should be one. And then my role, when it comes,
3	in the event that I have a project that would go
4	there, I would discuss it with the county
5	attorney and the county attorney would say,
6	commissioner, before we calendar this, this is a
7	project that should go to the hotel/motel
8	committee.
9	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Would you have a
10	problem with there being an advisory committee?
11	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: I work with
12	everyone.
13	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: That's great.
14	COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: You guys have
15	called me before; I take your calls.
16	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: Presiding Officer
17	Gonsalves
18	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I'm just looking
19	up the law.
20	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: So is this, in
21	fact, does the law say that we should have an
22	advisory committee?
23	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: I'm just looking
24	at it. It's subject to review.
25	LEGISLATOR BOSWORTH: When you review

COMMISSIONER FOSKEY: I'm not in a

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

the appointees that are all expired, which means

I'm looking at

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES:

24

MS. MUNTZ: I have a question about the

efforts to hopefully, in the future, Presiding

Full Legislature - 3-18-13

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Officer, we'll start to see a few more items, maybe even as we -- actually, those are part of the EBA not the IMAs.

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: It wasn't an IMA? LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: They were EBA. But, in general, we're talking about IMAs that relate from the CRP program. If we can start to maybe do things, it would be our hope to try to really foster bipartisanship if we can start to see some of your IMAs calendared the same day as our IMAs, and I think that would be the best way to go forward. That's just something I wanted to express to Mr. May in the hope that maybe we could start to see a few of those things trickle down to the legislature.

Thank you.

MR. MAY: Can I address that comment?

Can I address that comment?

I probably have about 15 or 20 IMAs outstanding with the minority caucus. I just haven't received them back, whether I'm waiting on SEQRA declarations or getting signed contracts back. So as soon as I have those documents I can file them. I might have one on my desk that is

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	about ready to be filed. I have there are
3	probably 10 in Legislator Bosworth's district,
4	there's at least one in Legislator Jacobs'
5	district. I believe I'm waiting on one from your
6	office. I have several out with Legislator
7	Wink's office, Legislator Denenberg has a few
8	that are around.
9	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Mr. May, if
10	possible, can you give us a list of what's still
11	out, to your recollection versus ours, so that we
12	can just make sure we jive it together?
13	MR. MAY: Sure. I can send you my whole
14	list of CRP projects and you can take a look.
15	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: Great.
16	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Mr. May, how
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Through the
18	Chair.
19	LEGISLATOR ABRAHAMS: You need to be
20	recognized by the Presiding Officer.
21	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
22	Denenberg.
23	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Wasn't there
24	eight from my legislative district that you
25	filed?

Resolution 48; and Item 29, Clerk Item 108,

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	Resolution 49.
3	All those in favor of these three items
4	signify by saying aye.
5	(Aye.)
6	Any opposed?
7	(No verbal response.)
8	There being none, the items pass
9	unanimously.
10	I believe at this point I have one more,
11	I believe, and then we're going to have to go
12	into executive session.
13	Item 36, Clerk Item 75-13, Resolution 56.
14	A motion, please?
15	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
16	LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA: Second.
17	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator Dunne,
18	seconded by Legislator Muscarella.
19	Any discussion on this item?
20	(No verbal response.)
21	Anything you would like to let us know,
22	Mr. May?
23	MR. MAY: This was the board transfer
24	for \$400,000 within OEM.
25	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: That's right.

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13 95
2	MR. MAY: I believe the questions at the
3	committee were just some information on what the
4	money was being spent on.
5	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Right.
6	MR. MAY: And I had provided to both
7	caucus a pretty exhaustive list of requisitions
8	detailing all of the expenses associated with
9	that money.
10	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Right.
11	MR. MAY: If there are any questions, I
12	have Tom Delaney who could answer any specific
13	questions. I can take a shot at the more general
14	questions. That's where we stand.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Any questions
16	from the legislators regarding this item?
17	(No verbal response.)
18	Any public comment?
19	(No verbal response.)
20	There being none, all those in favor of
21	Item 36, Clerk Item 75-13, Resolution 56, signify
22	by saying aye.
23	(Aye.)
24	Any opposed?
25	(No verbal response.)

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

Second.

Moved by

LEGISLATOR WALKER: So moved.

LEGISLATOR MUSCARELLA:

CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES:

23

24

otherwise appropriated in unexpended, in certain

transfers that transfer monies that were

24

Full Legislature - 3-18-13

already budgeted.

areas, and transfer them into other areas. My concern is, let's say for argument's sake we allocate a million dollars in 2013 for repairs and maintenance at the Aquatic Center and we get a \$3 million settlement in this case. If we then, in turn, transfer \$3 million out of that budget or any amount over a million dollars, it's fungible money at that point. So my concern is that it not only be dedicated to the Aquatic Center but that it be not transferred out of the Aquatic Center at any point for the 2013 budget cycle so that it doesn't end up being a situation

where, you know, we throw in more money and then

we don't have to spend that million dollars we

MS. LOCURTO: I understand your concern,
Legislator. And my understanding, in
consultation with the appropriate persons, is
that the money will be specifically dedicated
towards the repairs exclusively for the Aquatic
Center. I have spoken also with Commissioner
Foskey and we are already in the process of
effectuating that, but we can't do that until we
get the approval of the legislature to accept the

MS. LOCURTO: Understood.

23

24

25

LEGISLATOR WINK: Thank you.

MS. LOCURTO: I will take that back.

REGAL REPORTING SERVICES 516-747-7353

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Legislator
3	Denenberg.
4	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: Ms. Locurto, hi.
5	You said that you spoke with the appropriate
6	parties to get assurances that the money would go
7	to a fund for the Aquatic Center to make the
8	repairs that I guess are subject to the suit
9	ultimately, as well. Who are the appropriate
10	parties that you spoke to?
11	MS. LOCURTO: I spoke with counsel and I
12	spoke with Commissioner Foskey, as well as I'm
13	sorry the administration, on how the money
14	should be appropriately moved.
15	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You said counsel;
16	that's Mr. Ciampoli?
17	MS. LOCURTO: No. Connell Denion.
18	Deputy County Attorney Connell Denion of our
19	office; he is our in-house bond counsel. And I
20	consulted with him on appropriations and how
21	money is allocated, in consultation, because he
22	works closely with budget, as well.
23	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And then you said
24	the administration, was that Deputy County
25	Executive Walker?

MS. LOCURTO: Yes. I have spoken to him about the Aquatic Center and how the monies are specifically, in this settlement, are going to be earmarked towards the repairs of the Aquatic Center.

LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: And without revealing anything -- I'm looking at counsel on both sides and I'm trying to not reveal anything that has to be in executive session.

Your advice on this settlement would be that it would not in any way reduce our recoveries from any of the other defendants ongoing, correct?

MS. LOCURTO: I don't think I would state it that way. I think I would state that this settlement maximizes the amount of recovery that we believe we would get in a litigation. The settlement here, there would be some limitations going beyond the settlement amount that we're agreeing to here, but as discussed in executive session, there are pros and cons of that. And we believe ultimately that the 3.1 million that we're receiving would be in the County's best interest.

ī	
1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: You were just
3	given something; do you want to read that?
4	MR. MAY: Yes, Legislator Denenberg.
5	It's an e-mail from Rich Mallett from the
6	Department of Public Works. He can't be here in
7	body, so I guess he's here in spirit.
8	The settlement monies is identified in
9	the capital project for the Aquatic Center as an
10	outside funding source.
11	LEGISLATOR DENENBERG: I guess thank his
12	spirit. That's good. Thank you. That's
13	helpful.
14	No further questions.
15	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: If there are no
16	other questions or comments, now it's time for
17	the vote. At this time I would like to call a
18	vote on Item 24, Clerk Item 47, Resolution 32.
19	All those in favor of this item signify
20	by saying aye.
21	(Aye.)
22	Any opposed?
23	(No verbal response.)
24	The item passes unanimously.
25	Thank you very much. Thank you so much.

1	Full Legislature - 3-18-13
2	At this point I think there are no other
3	items, correct, on the calendar.
4	Motion to adjourn?
5	LEGISLATOR DUNNE: So moved.
6	LEGISLATOR WALKER: Second.
7	CHAIRWOMAN GONSALVES: Motion by
8	Legislator Dunne, seconded by Legislator Walker.
9	All those in favor of adjourning?
10	(Aye.)
11	Any opposed?
12	(No verbal response.)
13	We are now adjourned.
14	Happy holiday to each and every one of
15	you.
16	(Whereupon, the Full Legislature
17	adjourned at 4:50 p.m.)
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

$\texttt{C} \ \texttt{E} \ \texttt{R} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{F} \ \texttt{I} \ \texttt{C} \ \texttt{A} \ \texttt{T} \ \texttt{E}$

I, FRANK GRAY, a Shorthand Reporter and Notary Public in and for the State of New York, do hereby state:

THAT I attended at the time and place above mentioned and took stenographic record of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter;

THAT the foregoing transcript is a true and accurate transcript of the same and the whole thereof, according to the best of my ability and belief.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 1st day of April, 2013.

FRANK GRAY